Estimation of Pure Compound Properties Using Group-Interaction Contributions

Jorge Marrero-Morejón and Eladio Pardillo-Fontdevila

Centro de Química Farmacéutica, Ave. 200 y 21 Atabey, POB 16042, 11600-Habana, Cuba

A family of models proposed here estimate the critical constants and normal boiling points of pure organic compounds from chemical structure by using a new structural approach called group-interaction contributions, which considers the contributions of interactions between bonding groups in the molecule instead of the contributions of simple groups. Compared to the conventional group-contribution techniques, the proposed models demonstrate significant improvements in accuracy, as well as the ability to distinguish among isomers.

Introduction

The basis for the design of chemical processing units is the set of physical and thermodynamic properties of the compounds that undergo transformation. However, it is not always possible to find experimental values of properties for the compounds of interest in the literature. Since it is not practical either to measure them as the need arises, estimation methods are profusely employed.

For the estimation of properties of pure compounds, group-contribution methods (Joback and Reid, 1987; Lyderson, 1955; Ambrose, 1978; Klincewicz and Reid, 1984; Lyman et al., 1990) have been widely used. In these methods, the property of a compound is a function of structurally dependent parameters (Σ), which are determined by summing the number frequency of each group occurring in the molecule multiplied by its contribution. These methods have the advantage of supplying quick estimates without requiring substantial computational resources. However, many of them are of questionable accuracy and are unable to distinguish among isomers due to the oversimplification of the molecular structure, which the simple group-contribution approach can.

To overcome this limitation, several attempts have been reported in the literature. Constantinou et al. (1993, 1994) have proposed a quite complex estimation technique, which is based on conjugate forms (alternative formal arrangements of valence electrons). This technique provides accurate estimations of several properties of pure compounds and allows

the capture of the differences among isomers. However, the generation of conjugate forms is a nontrivial issue and requires a symbolic computing environment (Prickett et al., 1993).

A less complex method has been proposed by Constantinou and Gani (1994). Estimation is performed at two levels: the basic level uses the contribution from simple first-order groups, while the second level uses a small set of second-order groups that have the first-order ones as building blocks. The role of the second-order groups is to consider the proximity effects and to distinguish among isomers. For a proper determination of which of them occur in a given molecule, however, it is necessary to apply supplementary and nonreported rules (Abildskov, personal communication, 1995). Otherwise, the same molecule may be described in different ways.

Pardillo-Fontdevila and González-Rubio (1997) have recently proposed a new structural approach [called group-interaction contribution (GIC)], that considers the contributions of interactions between bonding groups instead of the contributions of simple groups. In this work, we propose new models, based on this new approach, for the estimation of normal boiling points and critical constants of pure organic compounds.

Development of the Models

In this work, the properties of a compound are considered to be functions of structurally dependent parameters (Σ) , which are thereby determined by summing the number fre-

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to J. Marrero at his current address: Dept. of Chemical Engineering, CAPEC, Technical Univ. of Denmark, Building 228, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark.

Table 1. Estimation Equations Used in this Work*

| Property | Symbol | Unit | Equation | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| Normal boiling point** | Tb | K | $Tb = M^a \Sigma + b$ | (1) |
| Normal boiling point | Tb | K | $Tb = a + \Sigma$ | (2) |
| Critical temperature | Tc | K | $Tc = Tb/[a + b\Sigma - \Sigma^2]$ | (3) |
| Critical pressure [†] | Pc | bar | $Pc = [a + bn_A - \Sigma]^{-2}$ | (4) |
| Critical volume | Vc | cm ³ /mol | $Vc = a + \Sigma$ | (5) |

 $^{^*\}Sigma\!=\!sum$ of the products each simple group (or interaction between groups) appears in the molecule and their contributions

quency of each group-interaction occurring in the molecule times its contribution. We selected 39 simple groups to generate a consistent set of group-interactions that allows one to treat a wide variety of organic compounds. These groups are the same as those used by Joback and Reid (1987), and earlier by Lydersen (1955), with the omission of = NH and = N-(nonring). We compiled property values of 507 pure compounds, obtained exclusively from Reid et al. (1987), for use in the development of the models.

With the object of comparing the group-contribution approach to the GIC approach, a least-square analysis was carried out to determine the contributions of both simple groups and group interactions. The estimation equations for each property are shown in Table 1. The fitted parameters of these equations and the statistical summary of regression analysis are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The equations are the same as those used by Joback and Reid (1987). In addition, we propose a new alternative nonlinear equation

Table 2. Fitted Parameters of Estimation Equations

| | | ontribution roach | Group-Interaction Contribution Approach | | |
|-----|--------|----------------------|--|---------|--|
| Eq. | a | b | а | b | |
| (1) | -0.366 | 149.84 | -0.404 | 156.00 | |
| (2) | 218.13 | _ | 204.66 | _ | |
| (3) | 0.5881 | -0.9305 | 0.5851 | -0.9286 | |
| (4) | 0.1218 | 0.4609 | 0.1285 | -0.0059 | |
| (5) | 26.3 | _ | 25.1 | | |

Table 3. Statistical Summary of Regression Analysis

| | | Data | Simple Group-Contribution | | | Group-Interaction Contribution | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|--|
| Eq. | Property | Points | AAE* | STD** | APE^{\dagger} | AAE | STD | APE | |
| (1) | $Tb^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 507 | 10.11 | 13.94 | 2.86 | 4.99 | 7.35 | 1.34 | |
| (2) | Tb | 507 | 12.66 | 17.01 | 3.58 | 6.48 | 9.53 | 1.73 | |
| (3) | Tc | 491 | 4.71 | 6.32 | 0.82 | 2.79 | 4.39 | 0.48 | |
| (4) | Pc | 445 | 2.03 | 3.09 | 5.30 | 1.06 | 1.70 | 2.92 | |
| (5) | Vc | 289 | 7.32 | 10.59 | 2.33 | 4.56 | 6.68 | 1.45 | |

^{*}AAE = average absolute error

for estimating the normal boiling point, which makes a significant improvement in accuracy as shown in the statistical results.

The contributions of simple groups and group-interactions are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Notice that there are group interactions that have not been calculated because of the lack of property values of the compounds involving these interactions. We used the singular-value decomposition procedure (Forsythe et al., 1977) as the optimization algorithm for linear regression. For nonlinear regression, we employed the well-known Levenberg—Marquardt procedure. In both cases, the objective function was to minimize the sum of squares of the differences between experimental and estimated values.

Table 4. Contributions of Simple Groups

| | Tb | Tb^* | Tc | Pc | Vc |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------------|
| Nonring groups | | | | | |
| -CH ₃ | 11.41 | 98.48 | -0.0144 | 1.8345 | 58.6 |
| -CH ₂ - | 22.90 | 198.22 | -0.0185 | 1.3731 | 56.6 |
| > CH- | 34.09 | 264.13 | -0.0161 | 0.9124 | 48.3 |
| > C < | 48.08 | 328.01 | -0.0102 | 0.4525 | 42.2 |
| $= CH_2$ | 2.82 | 67.90 | -0.0076 | 1.3750 | 50.5 |
| = CH- | 27.76 | 194.17 | -0.0136 | 0.9144 | 47.8 |
| = C < | 31.63 | 258.41 | -0.0119 | 0.4527 | 38.0 |
| = C = | 28.10 | 223.18 | -0.0079 | 0.4604 | 35.5 |
| = CH | -10.06 | 61.28 | -0.0115 | 0.9183 | 42.1 |
| ≡ C− | 34.38 | 221.38 | 0.0032 | 0.4602 | 37.7 |
| Ring groups | | | | | |
| -CH ₂ - | 22.50 | 174.48 | -0.0092 | 1.3768 | 47.3 |
| > CH- | 30.48 | 247.01 | -0.0141 | 0.9125 | 42.3 |
| > C < | 46.39 | 324.31 | -0.0046 | 0.4567 | 33.0 |
| = CH- | 23.24 | 179.86 | -0.0088 | 0.9180 | 40.7 |
| = C < | 38.42 | 290.21 | -0.0103 | 0.4566 | 34.0 |
| Halogen groups | | | | | |
| -F | -14.79 | -45.91 | -0.0108 | 0.4560 | 19.9 |
| -Cl | 25.63 | 267.42 | -0.0100 | 0.4577 | 51.0 |
| -Br | 43.53 | 508.17 | -0.0074 | 0.4562 | 73.5 |
| –I | 89.39 | 950.06 | -0.0017 | 0.4605 | 87.4 |
| Oxygen groups | | | | | |
| -OH (alcohol) | 84.51 | 474.98 | -0.0678 | 0.9309 | 20.5 |
| -OH (phenol) | 59.95 | 350.99 | -0.0078 -0.0273 | 0.9352 | -7.6 |
| -OH (pileliol) -O- (nonring) | 25.83 | 203.21 | -0.0273 -0.0174 | 0.9332 | - 7.0 16.1 |
| -O- (nonling) -O- (ring) | 25.78 | 181.91 | -0.0174 -0.0092 | 0.4377 | 11.1 |
| > CO (nonring) | 72.21 | 522.16 | -0.0032 | 0.4037 | 66.5 |
| > CO (finding) > CO (ring) | 96.98 | 605.02 | -0.0327 -0.0183 | 0.9103 | 52.4 |
| -CHO (aldehyde) | 68.99 | 451.84 | -0.0163 -0.0306 | 1.3837 | 76.6 |
| -COOH (acid) | 146.99 | 948.08 | -0.0300 -0.0843 | 1.8407 | 85.4 |
| -COOT (actu) -COO- (ester) | 80.61 | 610.80 | -0.0843 -0.0469 | 1.3716 | 88.3 |
| = O (other) | -17.05 | 31.79 | -0.0403 -0.0092 | 0.4669 | 32.7 |
| Nitrogen groups | 0 | -21.10 | 2.0002 | 2.2000 | |
| -NH ₂ | 58.67 | 325.69 | -0.0210 | 1.3870 | 31.1 |
| > NH (nonring) | 56.91 | 362.92 | -0.0210 | 0.9204 | 44.4 |
| > NH (ring) | 64.96 | 369.36 | -0.0251 | 0.9305 | 28.6 |
| > N- (nonring) | 49.72 | 347.77 | -0.0154 | 0.3565 | 34.6 |
| = N - (ring) | 44.74 | 240.89 | -0.0108 | 0.4692 | -24.1 |
| -CN | 111.45 | 639.92 | -0.0105 | 0.4032 | 86.3 |
| -NO ₂ | 144.77 | 912.64 | -0.0323 | 1.3878 | 88.3 |
| Sulfur groups | | | | | |
| -SH | 52.67 | 428.90 | 0.0048 | 0.9313 | 62.8 |
| -S- (nonring) | 72.12 | 519.86 | -0.0100 | 0.9313 | 59.5 |
| -S- (nonning) -S- (ring) | 66.09 | 444.31 | 0.0008 | 0.4652 | 30.1 |
| | 00.00 | 111.01 | 0.0000 | 0.1002 | 00.1 |

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq. 1).

^{**}M= molecular weight

 $^{{}^{\}dagger}n_A$ = total number of atoms in the molecule

^{**}STD = standard deviation of regression

[†]APE = average percent error

^{††}Equation 1 is the one proposed for estimating Tb.

Note: AAE and STD have units of K for Tb and Tc, bar for Pc, and cm³/mol for Vc.

Table 5. Contributions of Group Interactions

| | | | I abic | . Contin | button | s of Group Interactions | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------|---|--------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | Tb | Tb^* | Tc | Pc | Vc | | Tb | Tb^* | Tc | Pc | Vc |
| Interactions with CH ₃ - (via | a single i | bond) | | | | | | | | | |
| | -20.82 | 61.28 | -0.0213 | -0.0576 | 123.2 | CH_3 – and –OH (a) | 133.04 | 736.93 | -0.0876 | -0.0180 | 92.9 |
| CH_3 – and – CH_2 – | | 194.25 | -0.0227 | -0.0430 | 88.6 | CH_3 and $-O$ | 31.94 | 228.01 | -0.0205 | -0.0321 | 66.0 |
| CH_3 – and $> CH$ – | | 194.27 | -0.0223 | -0.0376 | 78.4 | CH_3 and $> CO$ | 64.46 | 445.61 | -0.0362 | -0.0363 | 88.9 |
| CH_3^3 - and $> C <$ | | 186.41 | -0.0189 | -0.0354 | 69.8 | CH_3 – and –CHO | 89.34 | 636.49 | -0.0606 | -0.0466 | |
| CH_3 - and = CH - | | 137.18 | 0.8526 | 0.0654 | 81.5 | CH ₃ - and -COOH | 186.44 | | -0.0890 | -0.0499 | 145.9 |
| CH_3^3 and $= C <$ | | 182.20 | 0.1792 | 0.0851 | 57.7 | CH_3^- and $-COO-(o)$ | 58.87 | 456.92 | 0.0267 | 0.1462 | 93.3 |
| CH_3 and $\equiv C$ | | 194.40 | 0.3818 | -0.2320 | 65.8 | CH_3 – and – COO – (c) | 65.95 | 510.65 | -0.0974 | -0.2290 | |
| CH_3^3 - and $> CH$ - (r) | | 176.16 | -0.0214 | -0.0396 | 58.3 | CH ₃ - and NH ₂ - | 62.14 | 443.76 | -0.0397 | _ | _ |
| CH_3^3 - and $> C < (r)$ | | 180.60 | 0.1117 | | 49.0 | CH_3^3 – and $> NH$ | 41.60 | | -0.0313 | -0.0317 | _ |
| CH_3 - and $= C < (r)$ | | 145.56 | 0.0987 | -0.0746 | 71.7 | CH_3^3 - and $> N$ - | 23.78 | 207.75 | -0.0199 | -0.0348 | 76.3 |
| CH ₃ - and -F | | 160.83 | -0.0370 | -0.0345 | 88.1 | CH_3^3 – and –CN | 150.14 | | -0.0766 | -0.0507 | |
| CH ₃ - and -Cl | | 453.70 | -0.9141 | -0.0231 | | CH_3^3 – and – NO_2 | | | -0.0591 | -0.0385 | |
| CH_3^3 – and –Br | | | -0.9166 | -0.0239 | _ | CH_3^3 – and – SH^2 | 74.44 | | -0.9192 | -0.0244 | |
| CH_3^3 – and –I | | | -0.9146 | | _ | CH_3 – and –S– | 53.24 | | -0.0181 | | 87.9 |
| | | | | | | 3 | | | | | |
| Interactions with nonring – | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| $-CH_2$ – and $-CH_2$ – | | | -0.0206 | | 56.6 | $-CH_2$ – and $-OH$ (a) | 108.85 | | -0.0786 | -0.0119 | 45.2 |
| $-CH_2$ – and $> CH$ – | | 244.14 | -0.0134 | | 40.2 | $-CH_2$ – and $-O$ – | 25.03 | 243.37 | -0.0205 | -0.0184 | 34.5 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $> C <$ | | 273.26 | -0.0098 | -0.0162 | 32.0 | $-CH_2$ - and $> CO$ | 50.77 | | -0.0256 | -0.0204 | 62.3 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $= CH$ - | | 201.80 | 0.8636 | 0.0818 | 50.7 | -CH ₂ - and -CHO | 88.49 | 648.70 | -0.0267 | -0.0210 | 106.1 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $= C <$ | | 242.47 | 0.1874 | 0.1010 | 24.0 | -CH ₂ - and -COOH | 156.34 | | -0.0932 | -0.0253 | |
| $-CH_2$ - and $\equiv C$ - | | 207.49 | | -0.2199 | 33.9 | -CH ₂ - and -COO- (o | | 475.65 | 0.0276 | 0.1561 | 69.9 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $> CH$ - (r) | | 238.81 | | -0.0265 | 31.9 | $-CH_2^2$ - and $-COO-(c)$ | | 541.29 | -0.0993 | -0.2150 | 79.1 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $> C < (r)$ | | 260.00 | | -0.0423 | 52.1 | $-CH_2$ - and $-NH_2$ - | 70.84 | | -0.0301 | -0.0214 | 63.3 |
| $-CH_2$ - and $= C < (r)$ | | 167.85 | | -0.0626 | | $-CH_2$ – and $> NH$ – | 35.62 | | -0.0248 | -0.0203 | 49.4 |
| $-CH_2$ – and $-F$ | | 166.59 | | -0.0161 | 49.3 | $-CH_2$ - and $> N$ - | 18.11 | | -0.0161 | -0.0170 | 32.7 |
| $-CH_2$ – and $-Cl$ | | 517.62 | | -0.0150 | 80.8 | $-CH_2$ – and $-CN$ | 130.85 | | -0.0654 | -0.0329 | |
| $-CH_2$ – and $-Br$ | | 875.85 | -0.0205 | | 101.3 | $-CH_2$ – and $-SH$ | 70.35 | | -0.0137 | -0.0163 | 93.3 |
| -CH ₂ - and -I | 107.75 | 1262.80 | -0.0210 | -0.0214 | | -CH ₂ - and -S- | 47.45 | 451.03 | -0.0192 | -0.0173 | 57.9 |
| Interactions with nonring > | - CH- (| v ia singl | e bond) | | | | | | | | |
| > CH- and > CH- | | 291.41 | -0.0039 | -0.0137 | 18.3 | > CH $-$ and $-$ OH (a) | 84.70 | 585.99 | -0.0757 | -0.0093 | 45.6 |
| > CH- and > C < | | 344.06 | 0.0025 | -0.0085 | 8.6 | > CH- and -O- | 14.40 | | -0.0162 | -0.0155 | 23.7 |
| > CH $-$ and $=$ CH $-$ | | 179.96 | 0.8547 | 0.0816 | 48.9 | > CH- and > CO | 45.66 | | -0.0194 | -0.0112 | 39.3 |
| > CH $-$ and $=$ C $<$ | | 249.10 | 0.1969 | 0.1080 | 4.3 | > CH- and -CHO | 78.46 | | -0.0406 | -0.0280 | 92.2 |
| > CH $-$ and $>$ CH $-$ (r) | | 295.33 | 0.0025 | -0.0168 | _ | > CH- and -COOH | 170.37 | | -0.1054 | -0.0358 | 110.2 |
| > CH $-$ and $=$ C $<$ (r) | | 132.66 | 0.1187 | -0.0556 | _ | > CH- and -COO- (c) | | | -0.0918 | -0.2098 | 72.3 |
| > CH- and -F | -2.06 | 68.80 | -0.0200 | -0.0147 | 37.7 | > CH- and -NH ₂ | 47.06 | | -0.0286 | -0.0212 | 39.2 |
| > CH- and -Cl | | 438.47 | -0.0142 | | 68.6 | > CH- and $>$ NH | 22.34 | | -0.0158 | -0.0162 | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interactions with nonring > | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| > C $<$ and $>$ C $<$ | | 411.56 | 0.0084 | 0.0002 | 22.7 | > C $<$ and $-$ Cl | 33.83 | 360.79 | -0.0133 | -0.0129 | 63.7 |
| > C $<$ and $=$ CH $-$ | | 286.30 | 0.8767 | 0.0953 | 23.4 | > C $<$ and $-$ Br | 50.42 | | -0.0084 | -0.0121 | 85.7 |
| > C < and = C < | | 286.42 | 0.2061 | 0.1109 | 8.8 | > C $<$ and $-$ OH (a) | 76.39 | | -0.0780 | -0.0094 | 40.6 |
| > C < and > CH-(r) | | 340.00 | 0.0049 | -0.0111 | _ | > C $<$ and $-$ O $-$ | 23.46 | | -0.0156 | -0.0103 | 40.8 |
| > C < and = C < (r) | | 188.99 | 0.1249 | -0.0510 | _ | > C < and > CO | 38.63 | 373.71 | -0.0114 | -0.0085 | 62.1 |
| > C $<$ and $-$ F | | -16.64 | -0.0176 | -0.0161 | 30.0 | > C < and -COOH | 164.43 | 1336.54 | -0.1008 | -0.0455 | 89.0 |
| Interactions with $= CH_2$ (v. | ia doubl | le bond) | | | | | | | | | |
| | -35.36 | | -0.9129 | -0.0476 | 105.3 | $= CH_2 \text{ and } = C <$ | 13.11 | 215 27 | -0.4158 | -0.2709 | 99.2 |
| $= CH_2$ and $= CH_2$ | | | -0.8933 | | | $= CH_2$ and $= C =$ | 17.02 | 183.55 | -0.0123 | -0.0239 | 68.4 |
| | | | | 3.10.0 | | 311 ₂ unu 0 | 10% | 100.00 | 0.0120 | 0.0200 | 30.1 |
| Interactions with nonring = | | | ole bond) | | | | | | | | |
| = CH $-$ and $=$ CH $-$ | | | -1.7660 | -0.2291 | 47.8 | = CH- and -Cl | 42.28 | 370.60 | 0.8613 | 0.0919 | 68.7 |
| = CH $-$ and $=$ C $<$ | | 354.41 | -1.2909 | -0.3613 | 73.6 | = CH $-$ and $-$ O $-$ | 14.95 | 204.81 | 0.8565 | 0.0947 | 36.4 |
| = CH $-$ and $=$ C $=$ | | 316.46 | -0.8945 | -0.1202 | 43.6 | = CH $-$ and $-$ CHO | 92.68 | 658.53 | 0.8246 | 0.0801 | |
| = CH $-$ and $=$ CH $-$ | | 174.18 | 1.7377 | 0.1944 | 42.1 | = CH- and -COOH | 180.68 | 1245.86 | 0.7862 | 0.0806 | 107.4 |
| = CH $-$ and $=$ C $<$ | 36.87 | 228.38 | 1.0731 | 0.2146 | 16.6 | = CH $-$ and $-$ COO $-$ (o) | 44.27 | 423.86 | 0.8818 | 0.2743 | 55.2 |
| $= CH- and \equiv C-$ | 22.58 | 174.39 | 1.2865 | -0.1087 | 26.3 | = CH $-$ and $-$ COO $-$ (c) | 59.38 | 525.35 | 0.7780 | -0.1007 | 64 .1 |
| = CH- and = C < (r) | | 184.20 | 0.9929 | 0.0533 | _ | = CH $-$ and $-$ CN | 117.18 | 761.36 | 0.8122 | 0.0771 | 107.4 |
| = CH $-$ and $-$ F | -32.32 | 5.57 | 0.8623 | 0.0929 | 41.4 | | | | | | |
| Interactions with nonring = | C < ln | ia doubl | e bond) | | | | | | | | |
| = C < and = C < | | | -0.8155 | _ 0.4090 | 93.7 | = C < and = C = | 44.51 | 321.02 | -0.4009 | -0.2502 | 58.1 |
| - C < and - C < | 40.70 | 333.36 | - 0.0133 | U.432U | 33.1 | -c \ anu -c = | 44.31 | 321.02 | _ U.4UU9 | - 0.2302 | JO.1 |
| * | | | - | | | . () | | | | <i>(</i> | |

(Table continued)

- *Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq.1).

 (a) -OH in a nonaromatic compound.

 (p) -OH in an aromatic compound.

 (c) Interaction with ester group via carbon atom.

 (o) Interaction with ester group via oxygen atom.

 (r) Ring group.

 (rr) Ring group interacting with a group occurring in a different ring (heterocyclic compounds).

 (—) Contribution that has not been calculated.

Table 5. Contributions of Group Interactions (Continued)

| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Pc | Vc |
|---|----------|------|
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 0.1102 | 43.3 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 0.1860 | 73. |
| | 011000 | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c }\hline \textit{Interactions with ring} - \textit{CH}_2- (\textit{via single bond}) \\ \hline -\textit{CH}_2-(r) \text{ and } -\textit{CH}_2-(r) & 25.62 & 201.89 & -0.0098 & -0.0221 & 47.2 & -\textit{CH}_2-(r) \text{ and } -\textit{O-}(r) & 29.60 & 225.52 & -0.0092 & -0.0181 & 47.5 & -\textit{CH}_2-(r) \text{ and } > \textit{CO}(r) & 61.01 & 451.74 & -0.0148 & -0$ | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -0.0127 | 38. |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | _ | _ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.0057 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.0072 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | - 0.0509 | |
| | 0.1542 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | - 0.0119 | 18. |
| | | |
| | 0.1356 | 8.3 |
| | | |
| | | |
| $= CH - (r) \text{ and } = C < (r) \qquad 24.95 237.22 \qquad 0.2190 \qquad - \qquad 29.8 \qquad = CH - (r) \text{ and } = N - (r) \qquad 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) 49.83 321.44 - 0.4067 - 29.8 = CH - (r) - 29.8 = CH - ($ | _ | _ |
| | 0.1491 | 65. |
| | -0.0916 | |
| Interactions with ring $= C < (via double bond)$ | | |
| $= C < (r) \text{ and } = C < (r) \qquad 66.09 \qquad 477.77 \qquad -0.4848 \qquad 0.1432 37.8 \qquad = C < (r) \text{ and } = N - (r) \qquad 43.35 334.09 \qquad 0.2541$ | _ | |
| | | |
| Interactions with ring = $C < (via single bond)$ | | |
| = C < (r) and = C < (r) 1.99 180.07 0.2318 -0.0809 20.6 = $C < (r) and -O$ 3.66 199.70 0.0997 - | | |
| = C < (r) and -O - (r) $14.56 134.23 0.1104 -0.0374 -0.3 = C < (r) and > CO $ $38.88 437.51 0.1112 -0.0074 0.0074$ | | |
| = C < (r) and = N - (r) 16.03 174.31 $-0.3972 -0.0971 -93.2 = C < (r) and -CHO$ 92.60 700.06 0.0919 $-0.0971 -93.2 = C < (r) and -CHO$ 151.441999.57 0.0919 | | |
| = C < (r) and = C < (rr) -32.07 153.05 0.2424 -0.0792 51.7 = C < (r) and -COOH 151.441232.55 0.0313 -0.0794 | | |
| = C < (r) and -F $-8.96 - 48.79 - 0.1069 - 0.0504 - 23.7 = C < (r) and -COO - (c) -23.85 - 437.78 - 0.0241 - 20.76 - 247.23 - 0.1039 - 0.0513 - 60.3 - C < (r) and -COO - (r) -23.85 - 437.78 - 0.0241 - 20.76 - 247.23 - 0.1039 - 0.0513 - 60.3 - C < (r) and -COO - (r) -23.85 - 437.78 - 0.0241 - 20.76 - 247.23 - 0.1039 - 0.0513 - 60.3 - C < (r) and -COO - (r) -23.85 - 437.78 - 0.0241 - 20.76 - 247.23 - 24$ | | |
| $= C < (r) \text{ and } -Cl$ $30.76 347.33 0.1028 -0.0512 60.3 = C < (r) \text{ and } -NH_2$ $77.47 517.75 0.0830 -0.$ | | 33. |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | |
| = C < (r) and $-OH(p)$ 64.74 456.25 0.0931 -0.0388 8.5 $= C < (r)$ and $-CN$ 92.74 682.19 0.0768 $-$ | | |
| | 0.0023 | |
| Interactions with – Cl (via single bond) | | |
| -Cl and > CO 54.79 532.24 -0.0191 -0.0125 84.0 | | |
| Interactions with – O- (via single bond) | | |
| -O- and $>CO$ 42.16 367.83 0.1987 0.3209 $ -O-$ (r) and $=N-$ (r) 57.78 382.25 $-$ | _ | _ |
| Interactions with nonring > CO (via single bond) | | |
| > CO and > CO 83.64 734.86 -0.4957 -0.6898 — | | |
| | | |
| Interactions with – H (forming formaldehyde, formic acid,) | | |
| -H and -CHO 49.34 387.17 - 0.0422 - 0.0123H and -COO- (c) 44.47 298.12 - 0.0781 - | - 0.1878 | 51. |
| -H and -COOH 169.14 1022.45 -0.0690 | | |
| Interactions with $-NH_2$ (via single bond) Interactions with nonring $-S-$ (via single bond) | | |
| $-NH_2$ and $> NH$ 115.75 673.59 -0.0301 — $ -S-$ and $-S-$ 61.17 597.59 -0.0124 | _ | _ |
| | | |

618

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq.1).

(a) -OH in a nonaromatic compound.

(p) -OH in an aromatic compound.

(c) Interaction with ester group via carbon atom.

(o) Interaction with ester group via oxygen atom.

(r) Ring group.

(rr) Ring group interacting with a group occurring in a different ring (heterocyclic compounds).

(—) Contribution that has not been calculated.

Table 6. Absolute Average Errors: Training Set vs.
Testing Set

| | Training Set | | | | | Testing Set | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Eq. | Property | Data Points | AAE* (GC**) | AAE* (GIC [†]) | Data Points | AAE* (GC**) | AAE* (GIC [†]) | | |
| (1) | $TB^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 407 | 10.07 | 4.87 | 98 | 11.01 | 5.22 | | |
| (2) | Tb | 407 | 12.43 | 6.36 | 99 | 13.12 | 7.01 | | |
| (3) | Tc | 391 | 4.54 | 2.61 | 90 | 5.02 | 2.73 | | |
| (4) | Pc | 345 | 1.97 | 0.98 | 87 | 2.12 | 1.00 | | |
| (5) | Vc | 189 | 7.13 | 4.33 | 83 | 7.88 | 4.69 | | |

^{*}AAE = average absolute error.

Note: AAE has units of K for Tb and Tc, bar for Pc, and cm³/mol for Vc.

Reliability of the Models

In order to test the reliability of the models, we generated two disjointed subsets by randomly excluding 100 compounds from the entire data set of 507 compounds. The largest subset was used as a training set for fitting the equations presented in Table 1 and determining the contributions of both simple groups and group interactions. The excluded compounds were used as a testing set by estimating their property values through the contributions and equations previously obtained using the training-set compounds.

The estimation errors for the testing-set compounds are comparable with those for the training-set compounds, as shown in Table 6, confirming the reliability of the proposed models. Notice that some compounds were removed from the testing set because they involved interactions whose contributions could not be obtained from the regression in which the randomly selected training set was used. Since the models presented perform well for compounds not included in the training set, it is more suitable to employ the contributions calculated by using the entire data set because of its larger size. These contributions are reported in Table 5.

Examples and Discussion

To illustrate our proposed application of the GIC approach, we have estimated the values of the normal boiling

Table 7a. Summations of Group-Interaction Contributions for 1,3,5-Trichlorotrifluorobenzene

| Interac | tions | No. | Tb | Tb^* | Tc | Pc | Vc | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|
| − Cl and − F and ring = C < | | | | | | | | | |
| = C < | -Cl | 3 | 30.76 | 347.33 | 0.1028 | -0.0512 | 60.3 | | |
| = C < | $-\mathbf{F}$ | 3 | -8.96 | -48.79 | 0.1069 | -0.0504 | 23.7 | | |
| Interaction | Interactions within the ring | | | | | | | | |
| via doub | ole bond | | | | | | | | |
| = C < | = C < | 3 | 66.09 | 477.77 | -0.4848 | 0.1432 | 37.8 | | |
| via singl | e bond | | | | | | | | |
| = C < | = C < | 3 | 1.99 | 180.07 | 0.2318 | -0.0809 | 20.6 | | |
| Structurall | Structurally dependent parameter Σ | | | | | | | | |
| J | • | , | | 2869.14 | -0.1299 | -0.1179 | 427.2 | | |

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq. 1).

Table 7b. Summations of Group-Interaction Contributions for Ethyl Acrylate

| Interactions | | No. | Tb | Tb^* | Tc | Pc | Vc | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--|
| Interaction w | vith = CF | I_2 (v ia | a double | e bond) | | | | |
| $= CH_2$ | = CH- | 1 | 28.66 | 205.73 | -0.8933 | -0.1378 | 77.4 | |
| Interaction with – CH ₂ – | | | | | | | | |
| -CH ₃ - | CH ₂ - | 1 | 33.19 | 194.25 | -0.0227 | -0.0430 | 88.6 | |
| Interactions | with ester | group |) | | | | | |
| via oxygen a | tom | | | | | | | |
| -CH ₂ - | -COO- | 1 | 49.04 | 475.65 | 0.0276 | 0.1561 | 69.9 | |
| via carbon a | tom | | | | | | | |
| = CH $-$ | -COO- | 1 | 59.38 | 525.35 | 0.7780 | -0.1007 | 64.1 | |
| Structurally dependent parameter Σ | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | -0.1104 | -0.1254 | 300.0 | |

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq. 1).

Table 7c. Summations of Group-Interaction Contributions for Isoquinoline

| Interac | tions | No. | Tb | Tb* | Tc | Pc | Vc | | |
|--|---|--------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | | | - (!- 1 | | | | | | |
| Interactions within the rings (via double bonds) | | | | | | | | | |
| = CH- | = CH- | 3 | 8.41 | 112.00 | -0.2246 | 0.1490 | 36.5 | | |
| = C < | = C < | 1 | 66.09 | 477.77 | -0.4848 | 0.1432 | 37.8 | | |
| = CH $-$ | = N- | 1 | 30.44 | 221.55 | 0.3913 | 0.1356 | 8.3 | | |
| Interactions | within th | e ring | (via sin | gle bond) |) | | | | |
| = CH- | = N- | 1 | 49.83 | 321.44 | -0.4067 | -0.1491 | 65.9 | | |
| = CH- | = CH- | 1 | 44.57 | 285.07 | 0.2089 | -0.1324 | 39.3 | | |
| = CH $-$ | = C < | 4 | 24.95 | 237.22 | 0.2190 | n.d. | 29.8 | | |
| Structurally | Structurally dependent parameter Σ | | | | | | | | |
| | • | • | 315.96 | 2590.71 | -0.0891 | 0.4443 | 380.0 | | |

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq. 1).

point and critical constants using 1,3,5-trichlorotrifluorobenzene, ethyl acrylate, isoquinoline, and m-terphenyl as example compounds. In Tables 7a, 7b, 7c, and 7d, we show the GIC summations for each property using the values from Table 5. In Tables 8a, 8b, 8c, and 8d, we summarize the results and compare them with experimental values.

Table 7d. Summations of Group-Interaction Contributions for *m***-Terphenyl**

| | | | | | - " | | |
|--|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Interac | tions | No. | Tb | Tb* | Tc | Pc | Vc |
| Interactions within the rings (via double bonds) | | | | | | | |
| = CH- | = CH- | 5 | 8.41 | 112.00 | -0.2246 | 0.1490 | 36.5 |
| = CH- | = C < | 4 | 36.01 | 291.15 | -0.3586 | -0.1822 | 34.4 |
| Interactions | s within th | ne ring | (via sing | ele bonds | <i>:)</i> | | |
| = C < | = C < | 2 | -32.07 | 153.05 | 0.2424 | -0.0792 | 51.7 |
| = CH- | = CH- | 5 | 44.57 | 285.07 | 0.2089 | -0.1324 | 39.3 |
| = CH- | = C < | 4 | 24.95 | 237.22 | 0.2190 | n.d. | 29.8 |
| Structurally dependent parameter Σ | | | | | | | |
| | • | • | 444.60 | 4404.93 | -0.1521 | -0.8042 | 739.20 |

^{*}Contributions to structurally dependent parameter (Σ) of estimation equation (Eq. 1).

^{**}The notation GC stands for group contribution.

The notation GIC stands for group-interaction contribution.

^{††}Equation 1 is the one proposed for estimating Tb.

n.d. = interaction that could not be determined because of lack of data.

Table 8a. Results for 1.3.5-Trichlorotrifluorobenzene

| Property | Eq. Used | Est. Value (GIC)* | Est. Value (GC)** | Exp. Value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Tb(K) | (1) [†] | 471.9 | 475.8 | 471.5 |
| Tb(K) | (2) | 474.3 | 481.2 | 471.5 |
| $Tc\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | $(3)^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 684.5 | 672.6 | 684.9 |
| Pc (bar) | $(4)^{\ddagger}$ | 32.4 | 33.8 | 32.7 |
| Vc (cm ³ /mol) | (5) | 452.3 | 443.0 | 448.0 |

^{*}The notation GIC stands for group-interaction contribution.

Note: Summation of contributions from Table 7a. Experimental values were obtained from Reid et al. (1987).

We have used a common set of experimental data to obtain the contribution values of both simple groups and group interactions. It is worth mentioning that it would not have been a fair comparison between both structural techniques if we had not used a common set of data. As shown in Table 3, the results achieved by using group interactions are significantly better than those obtained with the typical group-contribution technique.

Another important feature of the GIC-based models presented is the ability to capture the fine differences among isomers. Consider, for example, the estimation of the normal boiling points of octane isomers. Table 9 shows the estimations through the GIC and group-contribution approaches, using Eq. 1 as the estimation equation, and the group-contribution technique proposed by Constantinou and Gani (1994). Clearly, by using the GIC approach, a higher number of isomers is distinguished and the estimates are significantly more accurate.

The proposed GIC-based models were found to be quite satisfactory for most of the chemical classes (alkanes, alkenes, cycloalkanes, cycloalkenes, aromatic hydrocarbons, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, acids, esters, as well as nitrogen, sulfur, and halogenated compounds), and relatively poor for alco-

Table 8b. Results for Ethyl Acrylate

| Property | Eq. Used | Est. Value (GIC)* | Est. Value (GC)** | Exp. Value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Tb(K) | (1) [†] | 373.9 | 366.5 | 373.0 |
| $Tb\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | (2) | 374.9 | 363.6 | 373.0 |
| $Tc\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | $(3)^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 552.2 | 555.2 | 552.0 |
| Pc (bar) | $(4)^{\ddagger}$ | 36.6 | 36.0 | 37.4 |
| Vc (cm³/mol) | (5) | 325.1 | 328.1 | 320.0 |

^{*}The notation GIC stands for group-interaction contribution.

Note: Summation of contributions from Table 7b. Experimental values were obtained from Reid et al. (1987).

Table 8c. Results for Isoquinoline

| Property | Eq. Used | Est. Value (GIC)* | Est. Value (GC)** | Exp. Value |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| $Tb\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | $(1)^{\dagger}$ | 591.5 | 501.0 | 516.4 |
| $Tb\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | (2) | 520.6 | 502.4 | 516.4 |
| $Tc\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | $(3)^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 782.5 | 775.4 | 803.0 |
| Pc (bar) | $(4)^{\ddagger}$ | n.e. | 45.2 | n.a. |
| Vc (cm³/mol) | (5) | 405.1 | 355.1 | n.a. |

^{*}The notation GIC stands for group-interaction contribution.

Note: Summation of contributions from Table 7c. n.e. = value that cannot be estimated because of lack of contribution. n.a. = experimental data not available. Experimental values were obtained from Reid et al. (1987).

hols, phenols, and large heterocyclic compounds. All the models yield large errors for polyhydroxy alcohols. It is remarkable that the GIC-based models perform better than the group-contribution ones for all the classes.

Conclusion

A family of models for the estimation of normal boiling points and critical constants of pure organic compound has been developed by using the group-interaction contribution (GIC) approach. Compared to the classic group-contribution techniques, the proposed models exhibit a drastically superior accuracy and are better able to distinguish among isomers. In addition, a nonlinear equation for estimating the normal boiling point, making a significant improvement in accuracy, was reported.

Acknowledgment

Financial support of the Centro de Química Farmacéutica (CQF), Havana, is gratefully acknowledged.

Table 8d. Results for *m*-Terphenyl

| Property | Eq. Used | Est. Value (GIC)* | Est. Value (GC)** | Exp. Value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| <i>Tb</i> (K) | (1) [†] | 645.3 | 652.3 | 638.0 |
| Tb(K) | (2) | 649.3 | 697.2 | 638.0 |
| $Tc\left(\mathbf{K}\right)$ | $(3)^{\dagger\dagger}$ | 907.3 | 893.5 | 924.9 |
| Pc (bar) | $(4)^{\ddagger}$ | n.e. | 27.1 | 35.1 |
| Vc (cm ³ /mol) | (5) | 764.3 | 732.1 | 768.0 |

^{*}The notation GIC stands for group-interaction contribution.

^{**}The notation GC stands for group contribution.

The molecular weight (M) was 235.419 g/mol.

^{††}The experimental value of Tb was employed in Eq. 4. If the value of Tb (471.9 K) estimated from Eq. 1 and group-interaction contributions had been used, a value of 685.0 K for Tc would have been obtained.

 $^{^{\}dagger}n_{A}$, total number of atoms, is 12.

^{**}The notation GC stands for group contribution.

[†]The molecular weight (M) was 100.119 g/mol. ^{††}The experimental value of Tb was employed in Eq. 4. If the value of Tb (373.9 K) estimated from Eq. 1 and group-interaction contributions had been used, a value of 553.6 K for Tc would have been

 $^{^{\}ddagger}n_A$, total number of atoms, is 15.

^{**}The notation GC stands for group contribution.

[†]The molecular weight (M) was 129.162 g/mol.
††The experimental value of Tb was employed in Eq. 4. If the value of Tb (519.5 K) estimated from Eq. 1 and group-interaction contributions had been used, a value of 787.2 K for Tc would have been obtained.

 $^{{}^{\}ddagger}n_A$, total number of atoms, is 17.

^{**}The notation GC stands for group contribution.

[†]The molecular weight (M) was 230.31 g/mol. ^{††}The experimental value of Tb was employed in Eq. 4. If the value of Tb (645.3 K) estimated from Eq. 1 and group-interaction contributions had been used, a value of 917.7 K for Tc would have been obtained.

 $^{{}^{\}dagger}n_{A}$, total number of atoms, is 32. Note: Summation of contributions from Table 7d. n.e. = value that cannot be estimated because of lack of contribution. Experimental values were obtained from Reid et al. (1987).

Table 9. Normal Boiling Point Estimates of Octane Isomers

| Isomeric Compounds | Exp. Values | GIC* Estimates Using Eq. 1 | | GC** Estimates Using Eq. 1 | | GC** Estimates (Constantinou and Gani, 1994) | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------|--|-------|
| <i>n</i> -Octane | 398.8 | 394.0 | (4.8) | 394.5 | (4.3) | 406.6 | (7.8) |
| 2-Methylheptane | 390.8 | 386.4 | (4.4) | $388.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (2.3) | 393.1 | (2.3) |
| 3-Methylheptane | 392.1 | 386.3^{\dagger} | (5.8) | $388.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (3.6) | 396.5^{\ddagger} | (4.4) |
| 4-Methylheptane | 390.9 | 386.3^{\dagger} | (4.6) | $388.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (2.4) | 396.5^{\ddagger} | (5.6) |
| 2,2-Dimethylhexane | 380.0 | 379.8 | (0.2) | $382.2^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (2.2) | 384.5 | (4.5) |
| 2,3-Dimethylhexane | 388.8 | 385.8 | (3.0) | $382.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (6.3) | 391.4^{\ddagger} | (2.6) |
| 2,4-Dimethylhexane | 382.6 | 378.7 | (3.9) | $382.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (0.1) | 382.3^{\ddagger} | (0.3) |
| 2,5-Dimethylhexane | 382.3 | 378.9 | (3.4) | $382.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (0.2) | 378.6 | (3.7) |
| 3,3-Dimethylhexane | 385.1 | 385.1 | (0.0) | $382.2^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (2.9) | 386.0^{\ddagger} | (0.9) |
| 3,4-Dimethylhexane | 390.9 | 385.7^{\dagger} | (5.2) | $382.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (8.4) | 391.4^{\ddagger} | (0.5) |
| 3-Ethylhexane | 391.7 | 386.2 | (5.5) | $388.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (3.2) | 396.5^{\ddagger} | (4.8) |
| 2,2,3-Trimethylpentane | 383.0 | 382.6 | (0.4) | $376.2^{\ddagger\ddagger}$ | (6.8) | 383.5 | (0.5) |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 372.4 | 372.2 | (0.2) | $376.2^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (3.8) | 369.5 | (3.0) |
| 2,3,3-Trimethylpentane | 387.9 | 388.1 | (0.2) | $376.2^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (11.7) | 385.0 | (2.9) |
| 2,3,4-Trimethylpentane | 386.6 | 385.3 | (1.3) | 376.6 | (10.0) | 386.1 | (0.5) |
| 2-Methyl-3-ethylpentane | 388.8 | 385.7^{\dagger} | (3.1) | $382.5^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (6.3) | 382.3^{\ddagger} | (6.5) |
| 3-Methyl-3-ethylpentane | 391.4 | 390.5 | (0.9) | $382.2^{\dagger\dagger}$ | (9.2) | 386.0^{\ddagger} | (5.4) |
| 2,2,3,3-Tetramethylbutane | 379.6 | 381.8 | (2.2) | 369.9 | (9.7) | 383.8 | (4.2) |
| J | | $AAE^{\ddagger\ddagger}$ | 2.7 | $AAE^{\ddagger\ddagger}$ | 5.2 | $AAE^{\ddagger\ddagger}$ | 3.3 |

^{*}GIC = Group-interaction contribution.

Note: Experimental values, estimates, and errors have units of K. Experimental values were obtained from Reid et al. (1987).

Literature Cited

Ambrose, D., "Correlation and Estimation of Vapor-Liquid Critical Properties: I. Critical Temperatures of Organic Compounds," NPL Rep. Chem., Nat. Physical Lab., Teddington, UK (1978).

Constantinou, L., S. E. Prickett, and M. L. Mavrovouniotis, "Estimation of Thermodynamic and Physical Properties of Acyclic Hydrocarbons Using the ABC Approach and Conjugation Operators," Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 32, 1734 (1993).

Constantinou, L., S. E. Prickett, and M. L. Mavrovouniotis, "Estimation of Properties of Acyclic Organic Compounds Using Conjugation Operators," Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 39, 395 (1994).

Constantinou, L., and R. Gani, "New Group Contribution Method for Estimating Properties of Pure Compounds," AIChE J., 40, 1697

Forsythe, G. E., M. A. Malcolm, and C. B. Moler, Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1977).

Joback, K. G., and R. C. Reid, "Estimation of Pure-Component Properties from Group-Contributions," Chem. Eng. Commun., 57, 233 (1987).

Klincewicz, K. M., and R. C. Reid, "Estimation of Critical Properties with Group-Contribution Methods," AIChE J., 30, 137 (1984).

Lydersen, A. L., "Estimation of Critical Properties of Organic Compounds," Rep., Univ. Cool. Exp. Stn., Madison, WI (1955).

Lyman, W. J., W. F. Reehl, and D. H. Rosenblatt, Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC (1990).

Pardillo-Fontdevila, E., and R. González-Rubio, "A Group-Interaction Contribution Approach. A New Strategy for the Estimation of Physico-Chemical Properties of Branched Isomers," Chem. Eng. Commun., 163, 245 (1997).

Prickett, S. E., L. Constantinou, and M. L. Mavrovouniotis, "Computational Identification of Conjugate Paths for Estimation of Properties of Organic Compounds," *Mol. Simulation*, **11**, 205 (1993). Reid, R. C., J. M. Prausnitz, and B. E. Poling, *The Properties of Gases*

and Liquids, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York (1987).

Manuscript received May 15, 1998, and revision received Nov. 17, 1998.

^{**}GC = Group contribution.

Isomeric compounds that cannot be distinguished by the GIC approach.

[†] Isomeric compounds that cannot be distinguished by the GC approach.

[‡]Isomeric compounds undistinguished by the technique proposed by Constantinou and Gani.

^{‡‡}AAE = Absolute average error.